

# State of Local Governance Performance and Local Development Report 2009



---

**Region IV-B**

# Executive Summary

The launching of LGPMS V2 provides an easier way of examining and reviewing the essence of governance and accountability among local government units. It adopts a system of performance management that will enable our local officials to measure the level of their performance in the delivery of public services and to explore the necessary actions to close the gaps between the desired performance vis-à-vis their actual performance, hence, it is a tool for bridging the present to the future.



For DILG, LGPMS is not just a tool for the capacity development of LGUs; it is also a means to uplift public accountability- a foundation of good governance. LGPMS showcases the different faces and phases of public accountability through the delivery of essential and basic services, institutional development and people empowerment.

Corollary, DILG Region IV-B, consistent with its mandate of promoting good governance and accountability by advocating the use of LGPMS as a tool for LGUs, implemented the same despite the challenges in order to help its LGUs attain the much coveted socio-economic and political stability.

For PY 2009, MIMAROPA got a 3.93 performance rating (PR) which corresponds to "fair" connoting that there is still a need for improvement. The rating can be attributed to the high ratings on three (3) governance areas: valuing fundamentals of governance, social and environment. However, areas on administrative and economic obtain an above par rating.

The regional performance rating is shown by the table below:

Governance Areas	Province	City	Municipality
Valuing Fundamentals of Governance	4.3	4.51	3.98
Administrative Governance	3.64	4.38	3.89
Social Governance	4.23	4.37	3.99
Economic Governance	4.63	4.49	3.50
Environmental Governance	4.31	4.48	4.02

On the state of development, the regional development index was at 2.9 due to the "poor" showing on economic development and "fair" on the social and environmental development sector.

The development condition in 2009 can be summarized by the table below:

Development Sector	Province	City	Municipality
Social Development	4.30	4.51	3.98
Administrative Governance	3.64	4.38	3.89
Social Governance	4.23	4.37	3.99

